

## ON THE SCHUR MULTIPLIER OF AUGMENTED ALGEBRAS

BY

JOSEPH ABARBANEL

*School of Mathematics, Tel-Aviv University**Ramat-Aviv 69978, Israel**e-mail: yossia@math.tau.ac.il*

## ABSTRACT

Let  $k$  be a field, and  $A$  a finitely generated  $k$ -algebra, with augmentation. Suppose there is a presentation of  $A$

$$0 \rightarrow I \rightarrow R \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0$$

where  $R$  is a finitely generated free  $k$ -algebra and  $I$  is non-zero. If  $A$  is infinite dimensional over  $k$ , Lewin proved that  $R/I^2$  is not finitely presented. A stronger statement would be that the ‘Schur multiplier’ of  $R/I^2$  is not finite dimensional. In the case that  $A$  is an augmented domain, we prove this stronger statement, and some related statements.

**1. Introduction**

If  $R$  is a free associative algebra, over a field, and  $I$  is a non-zero two-sided ideal of  $R$ , then Lewin proved [7] that  $I^2$  is not finitely generated (as a 2-sided ideal!) when the algebra  $R/I$  is infinite dimensional. In other words,  $R/I^2$  is not finitely presented in this case. On the other hand, it is easy to see that when  $R$  is finitely generated and  $R/I$  is finite dimensional, so is  $R/I^2$ , and hence  $I^2$  is finitely generated.

Similar behavior is seen in groups. If  $F$  is a finitely generated free group, and  $R$  is a normal subgroup then  $R'$  is *normally* finitely generated if, and only if,  $F/R$  is finite. But Baumslag, Strebel and Thomson proved [2] a stronger fact. Denoting the  $m$ -th member of the lower central series by  $\gamma_m$ , they proved that for

---

Received October 20, 1996 and in revised form November 17, 1996

$m > 1$  the Schur multiplier of  $F/\gamma_m R$ ,  $H_2(F/\gamma_m R, \mathbb{Z})$ , is not finitely generated (as an abelian group) if  $F/R$  is not finite.

In Lie algebras even more is true. It is shown in [1] that if  $L$  is a free Lie algebra over a field  $k$ , and  $I$  is any non-zero proper ideal then, for  $n \geq 2$ ,  $H_2(L/I^n, k)$  is not finite dimensional, and thus  $I^n$  is not finitely generated as an ideal. Here  $I^n = I$  if  $n = 1$ , and  $I^n = [I^{n-1}, I]$  if  $n > 1$ . This is true even when  $L/I$  is finite dimensional.

In the case of associative algebras, the question arises whether an analogous result can be attained. The analogue of the Schur multiplier of a group is the second Tor functor, i.e. if  $A$  is an augmented  $k$ -algebra,  $\text{Tor}_2^A(k, k)$  is considered as the Schur multiplier of  $A$ . This agrees with the definitions in group theory where  $A$  is the group ring  $\mathbb{Z}G$ , and for Lie algebras where  $A$  is the universal enveloping algebra. It is quite easy to see that as in the case of groups and Lie algebras, if  $A$  is finitely presented as a  $k$ -algebra then  $\text{Tor}_2^A(k, k)$  is finite dimensional, while the converse is not true. There are  $k$ -algebras that are not finitely presented, with  $\text{Tor}_2^A(k, k)$  finite dimensional, just as there are groups with a finitely generated Schur multiplier which are not finitely presented.

The second Tor functor,  $\text{Tor}_2^A(k, k)$ , has been studied extensively. Frohlich [5] gave a "Hopf formula" for it, Knus [6] gave another proof of the formula, and of the five term exact sequence, which also shows the connection to the group and Lie algebras cases, and recently Rosset [8] studied it from a different angle, in analogy to the Baer invariants of groups.

As mentioned above, Frohlich [5] gave a "Hopf formula" for the Schur multiplier of  $A$ . If  $0 \rightarrow I \rightarrow R \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0$  is a presentation of  $A$ , where  $R$  is a free  $k$ -algebra, and  $\delta$  is the augmentation ideal of  $R$ , then

$$\text{Tor}_2^A(k, k) = (I \cap \delta^2)/(\delta I + I\delta).$$

Can Lewin's result be strengthened to the statement that if  $A$  is infinite dimensional then the Schur multiplier of  $R/I^2$  is not finite dimensional? In other words, do the results of [2] (for groups) and [1] (for Lie algebras) extend to associative algebras? In this generality the question remains unanswered. In addition, the same question can be asked about  $R/I^n$  for  $n \geq 2$ . We shall prove that under the assumption that  $A = R/I$  is an augmented domain,  $\text{Tor}_2^{R/I^n}(k, k)$  is in fact not finite dimensional.

**THEOREM 1.1:** *Let  $R$  be a finitely generated free associative algebra over a field  $k$ , and  $I \subset \delta$  a non-zero two-sided ideal of  $R$  such that  $R/I$  is an infinite dimensional augmented integral domain over  $k$ . Then for  $n > 1$ ,  $\text{Tor}_2^{R/I^n}(k, k)$*

is not finite dimensional, and hence  $I^n$  is not finitely generated as a two-sided ideal.

Note that the requirement that  $R/I$  be an integral domain is similar to arguments used in both the group and Lie algebra cases. In Lie algebras, the enveloping algebra (over a field) is always a domain, a fact that is used in [1]. In the case of groups, the group ring of  $F/\gamma_n F$  is a domain, a fact that is used in [2].

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT: I would like to thank the referee for pointing out an error in a previous draft of this paper, and for suggesting some improvements of the presentation. I am also grateful to Shmuel Rosset for the many fruitful discussions held on this and other related topics, and for his helpful suggestions and comments.

## 2. Proof

We shall need the following well known result.

THEOREM 2.1 (Cohn [4, Theorem II.4.3]): *Let  $R$  be a free algebra over a field  $k$  and  $I$  a left (resp. right) ideal of  $R$ . Then  $I$  is a free left (resp. right)  $R$ -module.*

In order to compute  $\text{Tor}_2^{R/I^n}(k, k)$  we can use Hopf's formula (note that for  $n \geq 2$ ,  $I^n \subset \delta^2$ , thus  $I^n \cap \delta^2 = I^n$ )

$$\text{Tor}_2^{R/I^n}(k, k) = I^n / (\delta I^n + I^n \delta) = k \otimes_R (I^n / I^{n+1}) \otimes_R k.$$

Any unadorned tensor is to be taken over  $k$ .

LEMMA 2.2: *Let  $R$  be a free algebra over a field  $k$ , and let  $I, J, K, L$  be any four two-sided ideals of  $R$ . Then*

$$I/KI \otimes_R J/JL \approx IJ/(KIJ + IJL).$$

*Proof:* By Theorem 2.1,  $I$  is a free right  $R$  module. Consider the mapping  $I \otimes_R J \rightarrow I \otimes_R R \approx I$  which maps  $i \otimes j \mapsto i \otimes j \mapsto ij$ . Since  $I$  is flat, this is an injection. On the other hand, it is clear that the image of this mapping is  $IJ$ , so that  $I \otimes_R J \approx IJ$ . Now tensor this, over  $R$ , with  $R/K$  on the left, and  $R/L$  on the right. Thus

$$R/K \otimes_R I \otimes_R J \otimes_R R/L \approx R/K \otimes_R IJ \otimes_R R/L.$$

But the left hand side is isomorphic to  $I/KI \otimes_R J/JL$  and the right hand side is isomorphic to  $IJ/(KIJ + IJL)$ . ■

By an easy induction it follows from the previous lemma that  $I^n/I^{n+1} \approx (I/I^2)^{\otimes_R^n}$ , where this is to be taken to mean the  $n$ -fold tensor product over  $R$ . Therefore  $\text{Tor}_2^{R/I^n}(k, k) \approx k \otimes_R (I/I^2)^{\otimes_R^n} \otimes_R k$ . However  $k \otimes_R I/I^2 \approx I/\delta I$ , and similarly  $I/I^2 \otimes_R k \approx I/I\delta$ . Note that the tensor products can be taken over  $A$  since  $I$  acts trivially on all these modules. Thus

$$\text{Tor}_2^{R/I^n}(k, k) \approx I/\delta I \otimes_A (I/I^2)^{\otimes_A^{n-2}} \otimes_A I/I\delta.$$

A theorem of Lewin is now very useful.

**THEOREM 2.3** (Lewin [7]): *Let  $R$  be a free algebra over a field  $k$ , with basis  $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_m\}$ . Let  $U, V$  be two ideals of  $R$  and let  $T$  be the free  $R/V - R/U$  bi-module with basis  $\{t_1, \dots, t_m\}$ , then there is a bi-module monomorphism*

$$d : U \cap V/VU \rightarrow T.$$

Note that  $T$  is simply the direct sum of  $m$  copies of  $R/V \otimes (R/U)^{op}$ . These injections are the equivalent of the Magnus embeddings that are used to prove the analogous results for groups and Lie algebras in [2] and [1]. In fact, the injections are a kind of 'Universal Derivation', as defined in [3]. One way to understand the injections is to consider a mapping  $U \cap V \rightarrow R/V \otimes_R J \otimes_R (R/U)^{op}$  where  $J$  is the kernel of the multiplication mapping  $R \otimes R \rightarrow R$ . The injection of the theorem sends  $x \mapsto 1 \otimes (x \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes x) \otimes 1$ .

Another way to understand the injection, as shown in [7], is as follows. Define a derivation  $d : R \rightarrow T$  by declaring  $d(1) = 0$  and  $d(x_i) = t_i$ . This defines  $d$  on  $R$  since it is required to be a  $k$ -linear derivation. Thus if  $w = x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_l}$  is a monomial of  $R$  then

$$d(w) = \sum_{j=1}^l (x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_{j-1}} + V)t_{i_j}(x_{i_{j+1}} \cdots x_{i_l} + U).$$

It is shown in [7] that  $d$ , restricted to  $U \cap V$ , is a bi-module morphism, and that the kernel of  $d$  is  $VU$ .

This theorem will be used three times, to give us three bi-module injections. In the first case take  $U = I$  and  $V = \delta(R)$ . The result is an injection  $d_1 : I/\delta I \rightarrow T$  where  $T$  is the direct sum of  $m$  copies of  $R/\delta \otimes A^{op} = A^{op}$ , thus  $T = (A^{op})^m$ , a free right  $A$  module.

In the second case take  $U = \delta$  and  $V = I$  and the result is an injection  $d_2 : I/I\delta \rightarrow T$ , where  $T = A^m$ , a free left  $A$  module. A third case to consider is where  $U = V = I$ . In this case  $T = (A \otimes A^{op})^m$ , a free  $A$  bi-module, so the injection is  $d_3 : I/I^2 \rightarrow (A \otimes A^{op})^m$ .

The important fact is that in all three cases, since  $d_1, d_2, d_3$  are injections, and the original bi-modules are non-zero (since  $I \subset \delta$  is non-zero), then the mappings are non-zero.

LEMMA 2.4: *Let  $A$  be a domain over a field  $k$ , and  $F$ , resp.  $G$ , free right, resp. left,  $A$  modules, and let  $f \in F$  and  $g \in G$  be non-zero. Then the  $k$ -linear mapping  $A \rightarrow F \otimes_A G$  given by  $a \mapsto fa \otimes g$  is injective. In particular  $f \otimes g \neq 0$ .*

*Proof:* Suppose  $\{\alpha_i\}$  is a basis of  $F$  as a right module and  $\{\beta_j\}$  a basis of  $G$  as a left module. Since  $f$  and  $g$  are non-zero, then  $f = \sum_i \alpha_i x_i$  and  $g = \sum_j y_j \beta_j$  where  $x_i, y_j \in A$ , and there are indices  $i_0, j_0$  such that  $x_{i_0}$  and  $y_{j_0}$  are non-zero. Since  $F$  and  $G$  are free modules then we have a right  $A$ -linear mapping  $\mu : F \rightarrow A$  and a left  $A$ -linear mapping  $\nu : G \rightarrow A$ , such that  $\mu(\alpha_i) = \delta_{i i_0}$  and  $\nu(\beta_j) = \delta_{j j_0}$ . This defines a mapping  $\mu \otimes \nu : F \otimes_A G \rightarrow A \otimes_A A \approx A$ , where the last isomorphism is through multiplication. If  $a \in A$  then  $a \mapsto \sum_{i,j} \alpha_i x_i a \otimes y_j \beta_j$ . If  $a \mapsto 0$  then  $\mu \otimes \nu(\sum_{i,j} \alpha_i x_i a \otimes y_j \beta_j) = x_{i_0} a y_{j_0} = 0$ . But since  $A$  is a domain, this means  $a = 0$ . ■

LEMMA 2.5: *Let  $R$  be a finitely generated free associative algebra over a field  $k$ , and  $I \subset \delta$  a non-zero two-sided ideal of  $R$  such that  $A = R/I$  is an augmented domain. Then for any  $l \geq 1$ , there is a non-zero left  $A$ -linear mapping of  $I^l/I^l \delta$  into a free left  $A$  module.*

*Proof:* For  $l = 1$  this is obvious, just take  $d_2 : I/I\delta \rightarrow A^m$ . We proceed by induction on  $l$ . Let  $G_l$  be a free left  $A$  module, and  $\phi_l : I^l/I^l \delta \rightarrow G_l$  a non-zero left  $A$ -linear mapping. We also have  $d_3 : I/I^2 \rightarrow (A \otimes A^{op})^m$ , which is a non-zero  $A$  bi-module mapping. This gives us a mapping  $d_3 \otimes \phi_l : I/I^2 \otimes_A I^l/I^l \delta \rightarrow (A \otimes A^{op})^m \otimes_A G_l$ . Set  $G_{l+1} = (A \otimes A^{op})^m \otimes_A G_l$  and  $\phi_{l+1} = d_3 \otimes \phi_l$ . By Lemma 2.4,  $\phi_{l+1}$  is a non-zero mapping, and since  $d_3$  is left  $A$ -linear, so is  $\phi_{l+1}$ . Since  $(A \otimes A^{op})^m$  is a free bi-module, and  $G_l$  is a free left module, then  $G_{l+1}$  is a free left module. However, by Lemma 2.2,  $I/I^2 \otimes_A I^l/I^l \delta = I/I^2 \otimes_R I^l/I^l \delta \approx I^{l+1}/I^{l+1} \delta$ , so  $\phi_{l+1}$  is the required mapping. ■

THEOREM 2.6: *Let  $R$  be a finitely generated free associative algebra over a field  $k$ , and  $I \subset \delta$  a non-zero two-sided ideal of  $R$  such that  $A = R/I$  is an augmented domain. Then for  $n \geq 2$  there is a  $k$ -linear injection of  $A$  into  $\text{Tor}_2^{R/I^n}(k, k)$ . In particular, if  $A$  is infinite dimensional, then  $\text{Tor}_2^{R/I^n}(k, k)$  is also infinite dimensional.*

*Proof:* Consider

$$\text{Tor}_2^{R/I^n}(k, k) \approx I/\delta I \otimes_A (I/I^2)^{\otimes_A^{n-2}} \otimes_A I/I\delta \approx I/\delta I \otimes_A I^{n-1}/I^{n-1} \delta.$$

Since  $n - 1 \geq 1$ , by Lemma 2.5 there is a left  $A$ -linear non-zero mapping  $\phi : I^{n-1}/I^{n-1}\delta \rightarrow G$  where  $G$  is a free left  $A$  module. In addition,  $d_1 : I/\delta I \rightarrow (A^{op})^m$  is a right  $A$ -linear non-zero mapping to a free right  $A$  module. Thus we can choose  $x \in I/\delta I$ ,  $y \in I^{n-1}/I^{n-1}\delta$  that do not map to zero. Consider the  $k$ -linear mapping,  $\psi : A \rightarrow \text{Tor}_2^{R/I^n}(k, k)$  defined by  $\psi(a) = xa \otimes y$ . Since by Lemma 2.4,  $(d_1 \otimes \phi) \circ \psi$  is an injection,  $\psi$  must be an injection. ■

### References

- [1] J. Abarbanel and S. Rosset, *Some non-finitely presented Lie algebras*, Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra, to appear.
- [2] G. Baumslag, R. Strebel and W. Thomson, *On the multiplier of  $F/\gamma_c R$* , Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra **16** (1980), 121–132.
- [3] G. Bergman and W. Dicks, *On universal derivations*, Journal of Algebra **36** (1975), 193–211.
- [4] P. M. Cohn, *Free Rings and Their Relations*, 2nd ed., London Mathematical Society Monographs, Vol. 19, Academic Press, New York, 1985.
- [5] A. Fröhlich, *Baer invariants of algebras*, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society **109** (1963), 221–244.
- [6] M. Knus, *Homology and homomorphisms of rings*, Journal of Algebra **9** (1968), 274–284.
- [7] J. Lewin, *On some infinitely presented associative algebras*, Journal of the Australian Mathematical Society **16** (1973), 290–293.
- [8] S. Rosset, *Nilpotent extensions and some associated invariants*, Journal of Algebra **179** (1996), 964–982.